

Sinclair's attempt to influence the election sets a dangerous precedent, one that the government cannot let go unanswered or unchallenged. By airing an anti-John Kerry "documentary" (and I use that term loosely) is blatantly breaking the law. Attempting to classify this piece as news does not disguise the obvious intent.

Sinclair Broadcasting uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Attempting to influence an election to serve the companies interest is not in the interest of the public.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.